

A lower bound for the size of the result array in a Karatsuba algorithm by R. E. Maeder

Stefan KraH
skrah@acm.org

May 31, 2009

Abstract

This paper attempts to correct the lower bound for the size of the result array in a Karatsuba multiplication algorithm by R. E. Maeder.

Keywords: Karatsuba multiplication, storage allocation

1 Introduction

In [2] R. E. Maeder presents a Karatsuba multiplication algorithm with low storage requirements and a single allocation strategy. For the temporary space he proves a sharp bound for the minimum storage requirements.

This paper focuses on the bound given for the size of the result array, which is too low in some cases.

2 The algorithm

The karatsuba function is a direct quote from [2]. All pointers point to arrays of base B digits. a and b are the factors, c is the result array and w is the temporary work space.

```
1 void
  karatsuba(digit *a, digit *b, digit *c, digit *w, int la, int lb)
    // add the product of a and b to c.
    // we assume  $la \geq lb > \lceil la/2 \rceil$ . c must be  $la+lb+1$  in size
    // the array w is used as a work array (temporary storage)
2 {
3   if (la <= 4) { // use naive method
4     long_multiplication(a, b, c, la, lb);
5     return;
6   }
7   m = (la+1)/2; //  $\lceil la/2 \rceil$ 
8   copyto(w + 0, a + 0, m); //  $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}$  into  $w_0, \dots, w_{m-1}$ 
9   w[m] = 0; // clear carry digit
10  addto(w + 0, a + m, la - m); // form  $a_l + a_h$  into  $w_0, \dots, w_m$ 
11  copyto(w + (m+1), b + 0, m); //  $b_0, \dots, b_{m-1}$  into  $w_{m+1}, \dots, w_{2m}$ 
12  w[m+1+m] = 0; // clear carry digit
13  addto(w + (m+1), b + m, lb - m); // form  $b_l + b_h$  into  $w_{m+1}, \dots, w_{2m+1}$ 
    // compute  $(a_l + a_h)(b_l + b_h)$  into  $c_m, \dots, c_{3m+1}$ 
14  karatsuba(w + 0, w + (m+1), c + m, w + 2*(m+1), m+1, m+1);
15  lt = (la - m) + (lb - m) + 1; // space needed for  $a_h b_h$ 
16  clear(w + 0, lt); // clear result array
    // compute  $a_h b_h$  into  $w_0, \dots, w_{la+lb-2m-1}$ 
17  karatsuba(a + m, b + m, w + 0, w + lt, la - m, lb - m);
```

```

18  addto(c + 2*m, w, (la - m) + (lb - m)); // add  $a_h b_h B^{2m}$ 
19  subfrom(c + m, w, (la - m) + (lb - m)); // subtract  $a_h b_h B^m$ 
20  lt = m + m + 1; // space needed for  $a_l b_l$ 
21  clear(w + 0, lt); // clear result array
    // compute  $a_l b_l$  into  $w_0, \dots, w_{2m-1}$ 
22  karatsuba(a, b, w + 0, w + lt, m, m);
23  addto(c + 0, w, m + m); // add  $a_l b_l$ 
24  subfrom(c + m, w, m + m); // subtract  $a_l b_l B^m$ 
25  return;
26 }

```

3 Allocation for the result array

The algorithm allocates $la + lb + 1$ units of storage for the result array c . However, this is not sufficient in a number of cases. We focus on repeated calls of `karatsuba()` in line 14 until the base case is reached. Each call consumes $m = \lceil la/2 \rceil$ units of c , and the base case call of `long_multiplication()` needs $2la$ units. If we substitute n for la , the total amount of storage required for c by this particular path in the call tree is given by this recursive equation, where lim is the limit for the base case.

$$cspace(n) = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } n \leq lim \\ \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + cspace(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Since $la + lb + 1$ might be greater than $cspace(la)$, the minimum allocation for c is given by:

$$\max(cspace(la), la + lb + 1) \quad (2)$$

In the following subsection we prove a sharp upper bound for $cspace(n)$. If $la \leq lim$, clearly the bound $la + lb + 1$ is valid, so we only consider the case $la > lim$.

Theorem 3.1. *An upper bound for $cspace(n)$ is given by:*

$$cspace(n) \leq 3(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1), \text{ for } lim \geq 4, n > lim \quad (3)$$

Proof. A formal proof written for the ACL2 theorem prover [1] is provided in appendix A. This is an outline of the main steps:

For $lim \geq 4, n > lim$, $cspace(n)$ terminates with n decreasing in each call. This paves the way for a well founded induction.

Assuming

$$\begin{aligned} cspace(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1) &\leq 3(\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1 + 1}{2} \rfloor + 1) && (hyp1) \\ &= 6 + 3(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{4} \rfloor), \end{aligned}$$

we need to show:

$$cspace(n) = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + cspace(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1) \quad (4)$$

$$\leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 6 + 3(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{4} \rfloor) \quad (5)$$

$$\leq 3(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1) \quad (6)$$

Omitting the details of the formal proof, we state that the term in (5) is indeed less or equal than the term in (6) for $n > 8$. For $n \leq 8$, the formal proof resorts to case analysis to show directly that the theorem holds.

In the case that $n > \text{lim}$, but $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq \text{lim}$, we must show:

$$\text{cspace}(n) = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 2(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1) \quad (7)$$

$$\leq 3(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1) \quad (8)$$

This is clearly true. □

It remains to analyse the other two recursive calls, where lt units of storage are reserved for the result array w .

In line 22, `karatsuba()` is called with $la' = lb' = m$, with $lt = 2la' + 1$. Since $2la' + 1$ is a valid bound for $la' \leq \text{lim}$, and $\text{cspace}(la') < 2la' + 1$, this call is safe.

In line 17, $la' = la - m$, $lb' = lb - m$, $la' \geq lb'$, with $lt = la' + lb' + 1$. Here lt might be too low. To amend this, line 15 could be replaced by $lt = (la - m) + (la - m) + 1$, or by using (2). The w array has enough space for both options.

References

- [1] M. Kaufmann and J. S. Moore. ACL2 Theorem Prover. <http://www.cs.utexas.edu/~moore/acl2/>.
- [2] R. E. Maeder. *Storage allocation for the Karatsuba integer multiplication algorithm*, pages 59–65. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 1993. <http://www.springerlink.com/content/w15058mj6v59t565/>.

A The formal proof

As promised, here is the complete formal proof of theorem 3.1 written for the ACL2 theorem prover. This file should also be available as `cspace.lisp` in the same directory as this paper:

```
;; Books containing helper theorems.
(include-book "arithmetic/top-with-meta" :dir :system)
(include-book "arithmetic-2/floor-mod/floor-mod" :dir :system)

;; Amount of memory needed for c when following a certain call path.
(defun cspace (n lim)
  (declare (xargs :guard (and (natp n)
                              (natp lim)
                              (<= 4 lim))
                :verify-guards nil))
  (and (<= 4 lim)
       (if (<= (nfix n) lim)
           (* 2 n)
           (let ((m (floor (+ n 1) 2)))
               (+ m (cspace (+ m 1) lim)))))))
```

```

(defthm natp-cspace
  (implies (and (natp n) (natp lim) (<= 4 lim))
    (natp (cspace n lim)))
  :rule-classes :type-prescription)

(verify-guards cspace)

;; =====
;;      Tight upper bound: 3 * (ceil(n/2) + 1)
;; =====

;; Rewriting floor in terms of mod frequently helps.
(defthmd floor-to-mod
  (implies (and (< 0 m) (rationalp m)
    (rationalp x))
    (equal (floor x m)
      (- (/ x m) (/ (mod x m) m))))))

(defthm lemma-1a
  (implies (and (< 0 m) (natp m)
    (natp n))
    (<= (+ (* 4 (mod n m))
      (- (* 3 (mod n (* 2 m))))
    m))
  :rule-classes :linear)

(defthm lemma-1b
  (implies (and (< 8 n) (natp n))
    (<= (+ 3 (* 3 (floor (+ 1 n) 4)))
      (* 2 (floor (+ 1 n) 2))))
  :hints (("Goal" :use (:instance floor-to-mod
    (x (+ 1 n))
    (m 2))
    (:instance floor-to-mod
    (x (+ 1 n))
    (m 4)))))
  :rule-classes :linear)

;; For n <= 8 case analysis is required.
(defthmd upper-bound-n<=8
  (implies (and (<= 4 lim) (< lim n) (<= n 8)
    (natp lim) (natp n))
    (<= (cspace n lim)
      (* 3 (+ 1 (floor (+ n 1) 2)))))
  :hints (("Subgoal *1/5'" :cases ((= n 5) (= n 6) (= n 7) (= n 8)))))

;; The upper bound for cspace.
(defthmd upper-bound
  (implies (and (<= 4 lim) (< lim n)
    (natp lim) (natp n))
    (<= (cspace n lim)
      (* 3 (+ 1 (floor (+ n 1) 2)))))
  :hints (("Goal" :induct t)
    ("Subgoal *1/2.1'" :use (upper-bound-n<=8))))

```